

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/766,790	01/22/2001	Brian C. Ford	935	8355
75	90 05/22/2002			
Breed Technologies, Inc.			EXAMINER	
7000 Nineteen I Sterling Heights			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/766,790	FORD, BRIAN C.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Eugene Kim	3721				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspond nc address							
Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	edica). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 mill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	April 2002					
2a)⊠	<u></u>	is action is non-final.					
3)□	· -						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
€\[	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maul et al in view of Shirk et al as discussed in paragraph 2 of the last office action.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the secondary reference Shirk et al is being used to teach the concept of using retaining means to provide for stability. The actual elements being stabilized is a matter of design choice. The examiner also notes that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Application/Control Number: 09/766,790

Art Unit: 3721

In response to applicant's argument regarding the single piston movement, the examiner contends that Maul does show a single piston mechanism as shown in figure with piston 10. In addition, the examiner disagrees with applicant's characterization of elements 30, 40 as being pistons. Element 30 is characterized as a folding ram while element 40 is characterized as a positioning device.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Kim whose telephone number is (703)308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 a.m - 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,790

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

1148.

Eugene Kim

May 21, 2002